

THOMAS G. TANCREDO
6TH DISTRICT, COLORADO

COMMITTEE ON RESOURCES

SUBCOMMITTEES
WATER AND POWER
FORESTS AND FOREST HEALTH

COMMITTEE ON
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES
INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM,
NONPROLIFERATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS
AFRICA
ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

COMMITTEE ON BUDGET

ORIGINAL



Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-0606

September 2, 2003

CC: 020/030

WASHINGTON OFFICE

1130 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
MAIN: (202) 225-7882
FAX (202) 2264623

DISTRICT OFFICE

6099 SOUTH QUEBEC STREET
SUITE 200
ENGLEWOOD, CO 80111-4547
MAIN (720) 283-9772
FAX (720) 283-9776

LITTLETON OFFICE

1800 WEST LITTLETON BOULEVARD
LITTLETON, CO 80120-2021
MAIN (720) 283-7575
SENIORS (720) 283-9026

CASTLE ROCK OFFICE

240 WILCOX STREET, SUITE 111
CASTLE ROCK, CO 80104-2439
MAIN (303) 688-3361

022
10
20
30
40
01
02
03
04
05

Bryant L. VanBrakle
Secretary
Federal Maritime Commission
800 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, DC 20573

RE: Petition P3-03

Dear Commissioners:

It is my understanding that UPS has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be revised. I write in strong support of the UPS petition currently pending before the FMC.

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform Act* (OSRA) revisions & 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCs were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system & en dealing with their customers,

However, the state of the U.S ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.


UPS operates the most sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network in the world; which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier": in the surface and air freight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its' asset-based transportation-infrastructure. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about. the regulatory status of NVOCCs.

03 SEP - 8 PM 3: 34
RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, level the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean shipping consumers around the world.

I am hopeful the FMC will give the UPS petition its' utmost consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Tancredo". The signature is stylized with a large initial "T" and a long horizontal stroke.

Tom Tancredo
Member of Congress