

CC: 020/030

ORIGINAL

Congress of the United States' House of Representatives

GREGORY W. MEEKS
6TH DISTRICT, NEW YORK

COMMITTEES
FINANCIAL SERVICES 022

SUBCOMMITTEES
CAPITAL MARKETS, INSURANCE, AND GOVERNMENT SPONSORED ENTERPRISES 10
20
30
04

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND CONSUMER CREDIT
DOMESTIC MONETARY POLICY, TECHNOLOGY, AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS 05

SUBCOMMITTEES
AFRICA 01

EAST ASIA AND THE PACIFIC 02

WHIP 40

CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS

PLEASE RESPOND TO
WASHINGTON OFFICE
1710 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
DC 20515-3206
(202) 225-3461
FAX (202) 226-4169

DISTRICT OFFICES
196-06 LINDEN BOULEVARD
ST ALBANS, NY 11412
(718) 949-5600
FAX (718) 949-5972

1931 MOTT AVENUE, ROOM 305
FAR ROCKAWAY, NY 11691
(718) 327-9791
FAX (718) 327-4722

106-1 1 LIBERTY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR
RICHMOND HILL, NY 11417
(718) 738-4200
FAX (718) 738-5588

September 17, 2003

Bryant L. VanBrakle
Secretary
Federal Maritime Commission
800 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, DC 20573

RE: Petition P3-03

Dear Commissioners:

It is my understanding that UPS has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be revised. I write in strong support of the UPS petition currently pending before the FMC.

During consideration of the Ocean Shipping Reform Act (OSRA) revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCs were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the state of the U.S ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.


UPS operates the most sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network in the world, which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and air freight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its' asset-based transportation infrastructure'. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of NVOCCs.

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
 RECEIVED
 03 SEP 25 PM 3:12

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, level the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean shipping consumers around the world.

I am hopeful the FMC will give the UPS petition its' utmost consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Gregory W. Meeks". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Gregory W. Meeks
Member of Congress